



ASSAM ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILE NO. AERC. 515/2015/Pt-I

Petition no. 25/2015

Interlocutory Petition No.:17/2016

ORDER SHEET

09.11.2016

Before the Assam Electricity Regulatory Commission
ASEB Campus, Dwarandhar,
G. S. Road, Sixth Mile, Guwahati – 781 022

Surjo Urja Infratech Pvt. Ltd. ---- Petitioner
Assam Power Distribution Company limited (APDCL) ---- Respondent-1
Assam Electricity Grid Corporation Limited (AEGCL) ---- Respondent-2

Representative for Petitioner:

Mr. Nikhil Kalwankar
Mr. Prannoy Nangia

Representative for Respondent1:

Mr. K. Goswami, DGM (Com)
Mr. Pradip. Goswami, NRE AGM, APDCL
Mr. Indrajit Tahbildar DM, Com (Tariff)

In the matter of

Hearing dated 09.11.2016 on the Petition no. 25 of 2015 and Interlocutory Petition No. 17 of 2016 filed by Surjo Urja Infratech Pvt. Limited requesting for determination of Tariff for their 15 MW Solar PV plant proposed to be set up at Bhoraguri District Nagaon Assam.

CORAM

Shri Naba Kr. Das Chairperson
Shri Dipak Chakravarty, Member
Shri Subhash Ch. Das, Member

ORDER

1. A Hearing was held on 09th November, 2016, on the Petition no. 24/2015 and Interlocutory Petition No.16 of 2016 filed by Surjo Urja Infratech Pvt. Limited requesting for determination of Tariff for their 15 MW Solar PV plant proposed to be set up at Bhoraguri District Nagaon Assam. Prior to hearing, public comments were sought; however, no objections & comments were received from public.
2. The MOU with APDCL was renewed on 28/10/2016 and is valid for period of 1 year.
3. During the Hearing, the Commission asked the Petitioner that, as the petitions filed by SurjoUrja Infratech Pvt Ltd and NERE Infratech Pvt Ltd is being represented by the same persons i.e. Mr. Nikhil Kalwankar & Mr. Prannoy Nangia and the projects proposed to be set up in adjacent locations, whether a common transmission facility for evacuation of power can be developed.
In reply, the Representatives of the Petitioners submitted that to lower the evacuation cost, a common Evacuation system can be constructed and the cost may perhaps be shared equally. The Commission directed the petitioner to

make the submission on sharing of Evacuation Cost in an affidavit form to the Commission.

The Petitioner submitted that to lower the evacuation cost, a meeting was held with AEGCL & APDCL. The Petitioner was directed to submit the copy of Minutes of Meeting.

4. Further, in reply to Query on optimization of O & M Cost, the Representatives of the Petitioner submitted that sharing of O &M Cost can be worked out after Commissioning of the project.
5. The Representative of Respondent 1 submitted that the Land details such as Land Agreement (Dag No.,Patta No. etc), Map etc. have not been received. The Commission directed the Petitioner to submit the relevant documents in this regard to the Respondent 1.

The Commission heard both the Petitioner & Respondent and reserves its order at this point of time

Sd/-
(S. C. Das)
Member, AERC

Sd/-
(D. Chakravarty)
Member, AERC

Sd/-
(N.K. Das)
Chairperson, AERC